Scrutiny Sub-Committee for Corporate Management Issues

30 July 2004



Training and Development: Project Planning

Report of Head of Overview and Scrutiny

Purpose of the Report

1. To inform the Sub-Committee about a training course provided for Scrutiny Members in relation to project planning.

Background

- 2. As part of the development of the Scrutiny role, a training course was organised on the 22nd June 2004 to seek to improve project management skills. Project management had been identified as a significant issue by more than ten members as part of their own personal development plans. In line with the recommendations of the Scrutiny Training Assessment Group, to seek to provide the most cost-effective training for Members a specific course for Durham County Council Members was arranged.
- 3. Elliott Patterson had provided project management courses for County Council officers and he was asked to design and deliver a course for County Council Members. This was the first course of its type that he had delivered in relation to Scrutiny. To an extent, it was a learning experience for him just as much as for the Members attending.
- 4. Thirteen Councillors attended a full morning training course. The course was divided into two parts. Firstly, a practical exercise and some theoretical advice about project planning. The second part provided the opportunity to put this theory into practice by looking at a future Scrutiny project.
- 5. The practical exercise, which was approached in teams, was a device to seek to demonstrate the pitfalls of lack of clear planning for a project.
- 6. Elliott Patterson explained the four main stages of project planning feasibility, planning, implementation and maintenance and evaluation. These stages were relevant for a Scrutiny investigation.
- 7. He also explained the decision triangle which demonstrated the balance between results, resources and costs. Some information was also provided about Critical Path Analysis and network diagrams.
- 8. The second part of the session involved considering the Fear of Crime project to be undertaken by the Communities Scrutiny Sub-Committee.

- 9. This will be quite a complex project and it did provide a challenge for the three working groups who were asked to explain how they would tackle the project management of such a topic.
- 10. Whilst each of the groups approached the project in a slightly different way, there were a number of common themes. The ideas from the working groups are set out on the attached appendix. This will provide assistance to the working group which will be looking at this issue.

Evaluation of the Session

- 11. The aim was to deliver the training in one morning session. Elliott Patterson did indicate that this was ambitious. He would have preferred a much longer course. Indeed, the course for officers is two days. Nevertheless, bearing in mind the pressure on Members' time, it was considered to be more appropriate to deliver the course on an intensive basis.
- 12. The main purpose of the course was to seek to heighten awareness about the importance of project planning in Scrutiny investigations. Good project planning can make the best use of the limited resources available to investigate topics. The course was a good start and did raise a number of important issues for consideration.
- 13. Bearing in mind the time constraint and the number of Members who received training, there were benefits derived from this course. Some of the benefits will need to be assessed in considering the way in which future projects are tackled. It is hoped that the planning exercise in relation to the Fear of Crime project will also provide a clearer route for this complex topic.
- 14. On the deficit side, perhaps the Fear of Crime project was an ambitious one to treat as a case study. Also in the time available, only broad issues could be considered. These issues need to be considered carefully if any further training were to be provided about project planning and management,
- 15. Any views from Members who attended this course would be welcome

Recommendations

16. You are recommended to note this information.

Contact: lan Mackenzie Tel: 0191 383 3506

APPENDIX

FEAR OF CRIME – SUGGESTIONS FROM THE TRAINING SESSION – 22ND JUNE 2004

FEASIBILITY	PLANNING	IMPLEMENTATION	EVALUATION
Where should we start?	Planning process	Evidence	Interpret results
Is there a problem?	Why is there a fear of crime?	Check data	Positive action, responsibility,
Why do we need to do this?	Definition	Best Practice	Measure success
Vision required	Countywide?	Noise, violence, nuisance, burglary	Quality of life issues
	Sub-Groups?	Different areas, different fear	Smart recommendations
	Communication?	Multi agency	
	Perceptions to be addressed	Tackle specific issues	
	Good news stories	Random sample	
	Society issues – small estates, isolation	Co-ordinate, liaison and leadership	
		Use community safety report	
		Complaints to be researched	
		Hotspots considered	
		Practical solutions required	
		Different solutions in different areas	
		Older people's views	
		Younger people's views	
		Site visits	
		Consult the public, schools, police	
		Seek best practice	
		Further surveys?	
		Value of anecdotes	

TIME ESTIMATES,

RESOURCES,

COST, OUTCOME,

PROFESSIONAL HELP

 $C:\gPageScraper\DurhamCC\Intranet\Corporate Scrutiny Sub-Committee\20040730\Agenda\\bv22vlpt.doc$